### Criteria for LanguageQuests -- Assessment Tool

**Section A: Critical attributes of a WebQuest:** this part of the tool covers formal/organisationalmatters related to the product features that affect its usability for learners, help to actually do the quest and evaluate it.

1 Introduction. The issue here is to what extent the introduction helps the student to get an idea of what the task is and in what situation it is set.

0	1	2	3
Absent, or contains no relevant	Vague sketch of situation or general	Fairly realistic description of context	The most important contextual elements
information	description in general terms in some	with some true-to-life details	are described in a functional, lively and
	short paragraphs		stimulating way

2 Task. Here the actual description of the task and the specifications of the final product are reviewed (NB: these specs should be in accordance with the criteria mentioned in 'Evaluation', part 5 of this section:

0	1	2	3
Absent, or contains no relevant	Vague wording or task description in	Reasonably concrete description of task	Clear and concrete description of task
information	very general terms. No or hardly any	and general requirements in terms of	and detailed specifications for content,
	concrete specifications for the final	form, size and/or content of the final	form and the quantitative dimension of
	product	product	the final product

3 Process. What is the quality of the descriptions of the steps students need to take to realise the task? Dependent on the type of task and the target group this process can be more or less structured.

0	1	2	3
Absent, or contains no relevant	Process described in very very general	Reasonably concrete description of	Clear, concrete and sometimes detailed
information	terms. No discriminate steps mentioned.	steps to be planned for the realisation of	description of steps to be taken and
	Little or no indication of roles to be	the task and roles to be assigned. Some	planned and the roles and related tasks
	assigned	suggestions on how to arrive at results	involved. Explicit instructions on how
			to realise results.

4 Resources. Are there sources of information available and to what extent are they functional for the execution of the task. These resources could take the form of documents attached to the WebQuest, WWW links, information to be retrieved by mail or from databases, and, of course, also non-digital content.

0	1	2	3
Absent, consists of just search engines,	Mainly general resources only loosely	Resources are fairly specific and	Resources are specifically well matched
or contains no relevant information	related to the task at hand, offering	provide quite useful and relevant	to task execution. Lots of information is
	insufficient information and/or only	information. Task execution requires	available and can be easily located.
	partly relevant. To find useful	hardly any searches for additional	Task can be completed without any

information for task realisation students	information	additional sources.
need to scan texts extensively and		
search for additional resources.		

**5 Evaluation**. How elaborate and detailed are the criteria for the final product on the basis of which students will be evaluated? To what extent do they provide guidelines for students to plan ahead and realise their work, including their share in the realisation of a collective outcome/result if applicable.

0	1	2	3
Absent, or contains no relevant	Only in general terms (e.g. "Your	A list of specific aspects that will be	There is an elaborate "rubric" (as in this
information	presentation and language use will be	evaluated is available. For each aspect a	tool) with concrete assessment criteria
	evaluated") or with a limited level of	general indication of the relevant	for a range of aspects such as minimal
	specification (e.g. "You will be	criteria is provided. Examples are:	size, required content elements,
	evaluated on correct language use,	"Adequate content", "relatively few	accuracy and fluency levels, quality of
	functionality of the presentation" etc.)	grammatical mistakes", "only few	presentation materials, contribution to
		spelling mistakes", "fair contribution to	teamwork, etc. providing a scoring
		collaborative process and final result'	range per item

6 Conclusion. After task completion, to what extent are students invited to reflect on the process, the learning and usefulness of the knowledge gained?

0	1	2	3
Absent	The task description contains an element where students are addressed in very general terms to reflect on possible effects. (e.g. What do you think you have learnt from this task?)	Self reflection is stimulated by asking students to list various things and provide examples of what they think they have learned from the task. Some structure is offered (e.g. domains like vocabulary, expressions, grammar, content and cultural knowledge etc)	Self reflection is stimulated by asking students to list various things and provide examples of what they think they have learned from the task. They are also challenged to indicate what in particular has contributed to their learning, and to think of reasons why this is so and/or could be applied in other contexts.

7 **Teacher's Page.** How extensive and relevant is the information the teacher is offered on aspects such as the assumed language levels and skills of the target group, preconditions (technology, facilities etc.), a profile of the students and the role of the teachers.

_1	1		
0	1	2	3
Absent	Info is limited and only contains	Contains reasonably detailed	As in 2 but also includes hints and
	elementary information with respect to	information with respect to the target	solutions for possible pitfalls and
	the target group, estimated student	group, estimated student language	classroom management problems. Has
	language levels and curriculum	levels and curriculum objectives	suggestions for adaptations to special
	objectives.	addressed. Has some information on	target groups (e.g. providing more or

	prerequisites & necessary preparation	less structure) and reports personal
	and hints for the execution.	experiences or those of others.

# Section B: Criteria concerning the instructional effectiveness of the task. These criteria are based on current insights in the domain of second language acquisition

8 Language input (quantitative) Here the quantity and variety of the language materials of the resources are assessed

1	2	3	4
Sources contain little text, hardly any	Sources provide a fair amount of text	Sources provide quite a lot of text	Sources provide a substantial quantity
variation in text type, register and	(some web pages or 'traditional A4	(several web pages or 'traditional A4	of text, both spoken and written in a
modality (spoken, written)	printed pages'), but the text materials	printed pages') with some variety in	great variety of text types and lay outs
	are not very varied (mainly short,	terms of text types and modality.	processing of which is functional for
	informative texts or songs or		task at hand.
	biographical data etc)		

9 Language input (qualitative) Here the degree to which the language materials that are introduced are suitable (content wise) and attractive for the learner target group is under review.

under review.				
1	2	3	4	
Resources score low on all of the	Resources score 'high' on 1 or	Resources score 'high' on 2 or 'high'	Resources score 'high' on 3 or 'high'	
following aspects:	'average' on 2 of the following aspects:	on 1 and 'average' on at least 2. Or	on 2 and 'average' on the remaining	
• Attractive ("stimulating	Attractive ("stimulating	'average' on 4 of the following aspects:	aspects:	
presentation")	presentation")	Attractive ("stimulating	Attractive ("stimulating	
• Authentic	Authentic	presentation")	presentation")	
• Within the sphere of interest of the	• Within the sphere of interest of the	Authentic	Authentic	
target group	target group	Within the sphere of interest of the	• Within the sphere of interest of the	
• Of current interest	Of current interest	target group	target group	
		Of current interest	Of current interest	

10 Language input (adequate level of difficulty, i.e. just above the competence level of the learner (in SLA terms: Interlanguage + 1). This aspect assesses whether the difficulty level of the input materials matches the target group and/or whether the author has provided support to adapt the level to the target group

1	2	3	4
Is much too difficult or so easy that the	Is too difficult for the target group: the	Is difficult for the target group: the	The difficulty level is well tuned by the
learner will hardly learn anything new.	available scaffolding tools such as	available scaffolding tools such as	choice of the texts and the provision of

No functional support is available that could help the learner to understand enough of the text which in fact is too difficult to be able to do the task.	dictionaries or suggestions to use specific strategies, additional information about the task, graphics, etc. provide inadequate support to understand so much of the text that the task can be done properly.	dictionaries or suggestions to use specific strategies, additional information about the task, graphical provides enough support so that texts can be understood well enough for proper task completion	scaffolding tools enabling adequate text comprehension for task completion.
---	--	--	---

11 Process for meaning To what extent does the ask require understanding of the input ma terials?

1	2	3	4
Task can be done with only superficial	Task can be done properly without	A fairly thorough understanding of the	Task design makes it impossible for
understanding of the sources materials	some real understanding of the contents	information in the resources is needed.	learners to complete a task without real
and some cut and paste techniques.	in the resources. Part of the final	If only to make an adequate selection of	understanding of and reflection on a
	product can be realised by copying bits	content for the product. Task directs	large proportion of the materials
	of content.	towards paraphrasing and rewording of	provided. Information needs to be
		the information but some instances of	selected, evaluated, compared,
		'borrowing from the orginal' are	summarized. Unlike cut and paste
		feasible.	techniques developing a personal vision
			contributes greatly to the outcome.

12 Process with a focus on form To what extent does the task stimulate the learner to become aware of language forms in the materials and possible irregularities in them (such as word order, use of tenses, plural forms etc.)

In no way does the task promote the	The task does promote the learner's	The task requires awareness of the	The task requires awareness of the formal
learner's attention for aspects of form	attention for aspects of form in the	formal aspects of the language	aspects of the language involved but only to
in the materials offered, such as word	resources offered but is not	involved and although this is also	the extent that this awareness contributes to a
order, use of tenses, plural forms etc.	functional: the task can be done	somehow related to the content	better performance in task completion.
	without actual further exploration.	aspects of the task the primary focus	The focus is on the impact of form on
	It is a grammatical exercise in its own	is on the grammatical/syntactical	meaning. But learners are stimulated to pay
	right, more or less by way of	phenomenon in itself.	close attention to language AND form.
	intermezzo.		

13 Language production 1(unidirectional) To what extent does the task trigger the use of the target language (L2) in various forms of presentation

1	2	3	4
Learners are allowed to use their mother	Task requires a product in L2 but the	L2 use is only mandatory for the	Task requires a fairly 'impromptu' way
tongue (L1) in all phases of doing the	necessary language materials are highly	presentation of the final product.	of presentation of the final product in

task.	structured and predefined allowing for	(quality indication: understandable for a	L2. But also in other phases (see e.g.
	memorisation of content for	native speaker) . Thorough preparation	criterion 6) L2 use is expected.
	presentation. Occasional use of L1 is no	for this is OK.	
	problem.		

## 14 Language production 2 (interaction)) Assessment focus: to what extent does the task trigger communication in L2 between various people?

1	2	3	4
Learners are allowed to use L1 in all	L2 is used for task description and	Task contains stimuli for learners to use	Learners are stimulated to use L2 in
phases of doing the task.	additional information but there is no	L2 while working on the task (in	every possible way when working on
Task description is also in L1.	functional reason for learners to use L2	groups). The Teacher's Page has	tasks and during group work.
	when doing or collaborating for task	suggestions for appropriate moments	The task description and all task related
	related activities.	for L2 use during lessons.	information and resources are in L2.
			Task is designed in such a way that
			communication beyond the classroom
			(e.g. with e-Twinning partner school,
			foreign informant/expert etc)
			contributes to the quality of the final
			product.

15 Use of strategies (receptive and productive) To what extent does the task provide the learner reasons to compensate for his lack of knowledge by using compensatory strategies (such as productive communication strategies and/or receptive guess- and prediction strategies)?

		<u> </u>	
1	2	3	4
Due to detailed structuring of the task	Considering the level of difficulty of the	The task cannot be done well without	The task cannot be done well without
and the prefab nature of the final	source materials and the criteria for the	making use of compensatory strategies.	making use of compensatory strategies.
product no demands are made on	presentation of the final product it can	Possibilities in either the receptive or	Possibilities in both the receptive and
strategic activities.	be assumed that learners will have to	the productive domain are mentioned.	the productive domain are mentioned.
	make use of compensatory strategies.	There are references to exercises and	Actual training is embedded.
	There are, however, no explicit	training opportunities.	
	references to this subject.		

Section C: Assessing to what extent the task is task-oriented rather than subject matter-oriented. These criteria have been derived from the field of 'task-based learning', 'co-operative learning' and 'competence-oriented learning'.

16 Focus on subject matter or on task-product

1	2	3	4
The task appears to have been designed	It is clear that the designers had distinct	The fact that the designers had certain	Appears to be designed starting almost
to accommodate the wish to teach and	subject-items in mind. They are e.g.	subject-items in mind and would like to	exclusively from the final product (a
practice a certain subject-item ('the past	clearly emphasized in the production	stress them, can still be recognized, but	video, a website, a report, an exhibition)
tense', 'introducing oneself'). A	process. But the designers also tried to	working on the product and the	and the requirements for information
substantial part of the time is devoted to	place the subject-items in a functional	requirements for content and form are	used and acquired skills. What is
this (not seldom without direct and	context. The subject-items clearly do	paramount. The achievements are	learned and possibly practiced is always
necessary link to the task-product). The	not form the core of the student-	mainly assessed on criteria concerning	functional for the content and the
product acts as a kind of encore, and is	activity. Nevertheless the mastery of	content.	product.
not always convincingly functional.	such subject-items plays an important		
	role in the assessment of the products.		

17 Concern of the teacher or concern of 'imaginary client, person, or agency for whom the product is intended' (degree of reality)

1	2	3	4
Is chiefly done as practice-activity. The	The task is the primary, functional	Is basically designed starting from an	Is completely designed starting from a
task is at best an attempt at functional	framework, but the degree of reality is	imaginary, more or less realistic request	request or need of a (n imaginary)
embedding. As long as the 'subject	substantially flawed to allow for the	from a 'client', but the embedding is not	client. The product specifications and
matter' becomes clearer, the task is	teacher's assessment and his options to	always consistently functional.	the assessment criteria stem from that
allowed to be somewhat artificial. The	steer the learning process and to mark	Presentation of the product in the form	request. The presentation of the product
presentation is not very functional and	the work. Presentation: in the form of a	of a report to the class instead of a	should suit the situation of the 'client'.
particularly the assessor's concern.	paper or orally in front of the class.	functional enterprise such as a film-	The assessment should be focussed on
		performance, a course, a brochure, a	the degree of client satisfaction.
		manifestation.	

18 Closed or open tasks

10 Closed of open tusks			
1	2	3	4
The task and/or parts of the task ask(s)	There is some variation in outcome or	Many different results are possible, but	Requests a product of parts of the
for one concrete result (Type: "In which	product, but the requirements for	there is so much control that the various	product using specifications (Type:
of the following restaurants can you get	product and presentation are fairly rigid.	product do not differ very much. The	"Agree on a menu. You can spend € 10
a hamburger?") There is only one	There is little variation in the final	use of the supplied resources is	each.") Essentially there is an infinite
correct solution, which was pre-	products to be expected, nor is it wished	recommended. The use of these (or	number of different "correct solutions".
determined.	for. Other resources than the mandatory	additional) resources is free.	The way the students approach the
Resources are supplied and mandatory.	ones may be used, but the latter should		problem is free. The supplied resources
	at least be consulted, often with set		are optional. Originality is stimulated.
	assignments.		

19 Everyone does the same, or teamwork with distribution of tasks

2 Everyone does the same, or team work with distribution or disks			
1	2	3	4
Task guides to "All students do the	Is presented as "teamwork", but there is	There is a distinct distribution of roles,	Task guides to a distribution of roles in
same".	no distribution of roles and tasks. Tends	but there is a certain overlap in the work	accordance with interests and talents. It
Can be done individually.	more to '1' than to '4'.	that the team members do. Tends more	pre-supposes a team. Such a team can
		to '4' than to '1'.	often agree on a distribution of work
			and roles as far as the tasks are
			concerned. The roles may supplement
			each other, or need each other, but there
			is little overlap in content.

# **Profile**

Topic/theme	
School type	
Class / Group	
Hours / number of lessons needed	
Product type (brochure, exhibition, manifestation,	
newspaper, advice, etc.)	
Required minimum level (CEF)	
Degree of control/structuring	
Contribution to learning to learn (much/little)	
(If applicable): Mostly aimed at mastering	

Total Score (The assessment of a LanguageQuest can only be completed if at least 1 point is scored for each item in section A (items 1-7))

### **Quality Stars and their meaning**

- **A** useful Quest that meets all the qualifications. It can be assumed to contribute substantially to the language skills of the learners who do this quest.
- A good, attactive, and instructionally effective Quest that in many respects scores above average. Some outstanding features.
- \*\* An excellent Quest with high scores on a wide range of criteria. Many nice, original ideas and creative instructional design choices. Really a gem.

#### Conclusion

- ★ = An acceptable LanguageQuest scores:
  - 13 points in section A (items 1-7) among which no more than 2 ones
  - 18 points in section B (items 8 15) among which no more than 2 ones
  - 11 points in section C (items 16 19)
- ★★ = A good LanguageQuest scores:
  - 15 points in section A (items 1-7) among which no more than 1 one
  - 21 points in section B (items 8 15) among which no more than 1 one
  - 12 points or more in section C (items 16 19)
- ★★★ = A great LanguageQuest scores:
  - 17 points in section A (items 1-7) where all scores are twos or higher
  - 24 points in section B (items 8 15) where all scores are twos or higher
  - 13 points or more in section C (items 16 19)

### NB

The model for calculating the total score is partly determined by a slight preference for task or problem based education and the insight that language competence develops through meaningful activities rather than because of the systematic practice of discrete subject matter items. You may have different ideas about this. In that case, with a view to the characteristics of your learners (e.g. beginners!) and/or your personal preferences, you may prefer LanguageQuests that score only moderately on the criteria 3, 13, 14 and 16-19.

Suggestions for improvement:	