IMPEL	Project Group	Meeting 1 / 2005
ELP Implementation Support		25-26 February ECML Graz

Third meeting of the project group "impel"

Present

Gisella Langé, Dick Maijer, Rolf Schärer, Gabriele Tänzer, Sally Rehorick, Hans Ulrich Bosshard (chair)

Excused

John Thorogood

Objectives of the meeting

- Present and discuss the information given by the participants
- Present and discuss the draft tools prepared by the group members Discuss further needs (e.g. integration of europass)
- _
- Discuss spread of information _
- Discuss the extension of the group for the enlarged meeting 2/2005 -
- If needed: Discuss and test the collaborative working space (follow-up)

Main Topics

- Progress reports
- Tools: from drafts to published documents
- Spread of information

Time	Minutes	Activity
	Item No.	
		Friday 25 February
0900	1	Introduction , organisation, i.e. installation of the different connections for laptops, internet, beamer; thanks to the ECML-crew for the technical support during the 2 days.
0930	2	Progress reports (1)
	2.1	 Gisella Langé I Implementing ELP in Italy An ELP working group has been organized by the Ministry of Education and Research - Education Department - International Relations General Directorate International Relations General Directorate and started operating in July 2004. Composition: 4 Inspectors, 2 headmasters, 1 teacher, 1 officer from the International Relations General Directorate. Coordinator: Gisella Langé. Goals: To promote the ELP in Italian schools To spread information To collect datas and examples of good practice To help teachers working with the ELP Activities: Developing informative materials for stakeholders and schools Collecting datas and examples of good practice Organizing conferences and seminars for policy/decision makers; inspectors and school managers. Outcomes: Involvement of the target groups A brochure (see on impel website: materials – restricted)

IMPEL		Project Group	Meeting 1 / 2005
ELP Im	plementation	7 1	25-26 February ECML Graz
		education - Using the 'Language Profiles' as an ins	strument to illustrate levels
		Plans for the next months:- schoolprojects till the summer holidays- national ELP-conference in June- trying to involve inspectors, publishers,- developing more learning materials- relating the curriculum to CEF- a tryout of the teacher language portfol	parents and so on
		Hans Ulrich Bosshard CH Implementing ELP in Switzerland	
	2.3	 Activities of the National Steering Group Teacher's guide(s) for ELP I-III with com Concept for the ELP-implementation ac coordination of language teaching in co (Swiss Conference of the Cantonal Min Information concept ELP ELP for migrants: coaching of a project ELP and standards: coordination with p ELP and assessment: coordination with evaluation of competences in foreign la ELP and the coordination of language teaching language teaching language 	coording to the strategy for the ompulsary schools of March 2004 isters of Education - CCME) of the Swiss Conference of Migration project "HarmoS" (Swiss CCME) project IEF – Instruments for the anguages (CCME German part of CH)
		 Production / implementation of ELPs ELP I (7-11years) December 2005: Concept draft Module 1: "Portfolino" (>7); brochure 2 Module 2: ESP I (7-11); folder (3 parts) development and piloting of elements 2006 pilot version, 2007 validation an ELP II (11-15 years) March 2005: end piloting (200 classes Trainers (German part CH); Summer 1st (use recommended). 2007 evaluation? 	20 p A4; additional material); additional Material; d introduction s); May Validation; st Training of
		 ELP III (15+) Total sold 60'000 expl; stock 32'000 Beginning revision: 5th linguistic version (rhaeto-roman User's Guide (Brochure) Corrected descriptors (writing) Integration Europass 	

IMPEL	IMPEL Project Group Meeting 1/2		
ELP Im	ELP Implementation Support 25-26 February ECML		25-26 February ECML Graz
		- 2006 production 2 nd edition, 2007	' implementation
1145	3	 Progress report (2): News from the Colling and the context of the CoE is about to enter The mandate of the old ELP Validation Com A new mandate and the composition of the V in March 2005. In the meantime the planning for the next intra autumn 2005 is going ahead. The topics earmarked for the programme ar a) generic ELPs and the development of ger b) the place and use of L1 in educational sy the ELP c) moving to a new assessment culture The Russian hosts announced that they wour focusing on intercultural competence. There are certainly a number of issues which e.g. the development of electronic ELPs. In this context it has to be noted that the dewith a specific appendix on language competively will most likely excise a strong influence on As link person from our project to the Langua to write a short report at the beginning of Fe My conclusions reported were: Our project has started well. The wealth of in issues to be dealt with make it difficult to cle However, I think there is a good chance that after our present meeting. As the development of "Whole school policie in gaining a broader implementation of the E project contact persons to find out what struct as basis to provide answers to the questions difference to whom, a difference in relation I intend to also use our meeting to get a cleat and to see how it could be made available to the could	a new phase (2005-2007). mittee ended at the 31 Dec 04. Validation Committee will be finalised ternational ELP meeting in Moscow in te: heric ELP components ystems and the consequences for uld like to make a specific contribution in will have to be dealt with: evelopment of a standard CV by the EU etence based on the CFR and the ELP further activities. tige Policy Division in Strasbourg I had ebruary. formation and the complexity of the early asses the progress made so far. a much clearer answer can be given s" will most likely be a decisive factor ELP I took up contact with a number of ctured information might be available s: Does the ELP make a difference, a to what? arer understanding of what is available
1230		Lunch	

IMPEL	Project Group	Meeting 1 / 2005
ELP Implementation Support		25-26 February ECML Graz

1415	4	 Progress report (3): Gabriele Tänzer Thuringia What' new since the last meeting? Not so much money to work on further developing and implementing our portfolios because the country has to consolidate the budget. So we had to find new ways and/ or partners (sponsors) for the next two or three years. Main topics in our work are: the evaluation of the ELP modules 1-3 and the final report (for the COE) the revision of the ELP modules 1-3 to get a more useful ELP (more useful for students, for teachers, for parents) to come to an end with the developing of the ELP module "Vocational training" and of the ELP module for adults. the preparation of validation and implementation of all modules to start the development of an online ELP for all learners with elements of teachers training to start the development of an online TT platform Main problems are: only a small budget to work with TT has to be more intensive and more useful
1445	5	 Guest's report: Sally Rehorick on the Canadian project Sally Rehorick reviewed the background to her participation in IMPEL: The Canadian government established a goal to double the proportion of high school graduates who have a functional knowledge of their second official language by the year 2013. She pointed out her study, commissioned by the Canadian government, <i>Plan 2013: Strategies for a National Approach to Second Language</i> <i>Education</i> which contained 54 recommendations. (note: This report is available on the website of the Second Language Education Centre at http://www.unb.ca/slec.) Among these was a proposal to learn more about the European Language Portfolio and its possible application to the Canadian situation. Sally noted that she is still a "learner" in the ELP and that she appreciated being able to participate in IMPEL. Since the IMPEL meeting in September 2004, Sally has met with several groups and individuals across Canada to determine if there is an interest in pursuing the idea of learning more about the ELP and how to implement a similar project or projects within Canada. These groups have included: Department of Canadian Heritage (national) - this is the department which is responsible for liaising with the provincial ministries in education regarding
		 responsible for flaising with the provincial ministries in education regarding official languages. They also commissioned Sally's study and named her to IMPEL. They are very interested in the ELP as a way of developing some national strategies. Ministries of Education in Alberta, Saskatachewan and Manitoba - these 3 provinces form the Western Canadian Protocol. Deputy Minister for education in New Brunswick Canadian Association of Second Language Teachers - all 10 provinces sent representatives to a national meeting where Sally made a presentation on the ELP. Canada School for Public Service - the federal government's language school for civil servants is located here. In addition to the presentations made to the above groups, Sally has also spoken

IMPEL Project Group Meeting		
ELP Implementation	n Support	25-26 February ECML Graz
	 informally to numerous colleagues involved well as students training to be teachers and. The conclusion she has reached is that ther project and thus the next step will be to orga 2005 entitled: Applicability of the Europear Establishment of a Pan-Canadian Language Canadian educators and experts from Europ ELP implementation with relation to Canadia Sally Rehorick asked for input from the IMP you were starting your project again What would you do differently? What would you do differently? What would you include in a workshop? What would you include in the workshop? A lively discussion followed during which a r budgeting for initial development of the 0 the relevance and development of the 0 the development of stable descriptors to different models of successful implemente the Swiss, which tries to combine ELP the (i.e. "ELP is part of the package"); the need to do "reality checks" often, penvironment; a possible structure for development by education institutions; the need for constant political will espect the idea of "starting small" with trials at this could be somewhat daunting). Sally Rehorick concluded by stating that she information to Canadian educators about the Language Education Centre at the Universit already has a link to ELP sites 	The second language education, as a found all to be enthusiastic. The is high interest to pursue a possible anize a national workshop in October in Language Portfolio to the Portfolio. The workshop would group be to examine the various aspects of an parameters. EL group regarding the following: If number of topics were covered: idget needed for sustainability; CEF; to serve as anchors; intation such as the global strategy of raining across other curricular areas particularly concerning the clas sroom y creating a consortium of teacher ecially with regards to financing; and pilots (i.e. don't "big project" as the would continue to disseminate the ELP. The website of the Second
1630 6	 Introduction of Europass: consequent Discussion on the EUROPASS CV and the lat consequences for the implementation of the Remarks: the compact form of the presentation of been discussed in the ELP commitee; there is no direct link from the CV to the language passport) if a user does not have an ELP how can be 'grid'?) It is not clear what the role of both National of their country or/and of the CoE The LEONARDO-centres should be bettee We discussed what kind of reaction would be Strasbourg about our remarks. 	nguage part in it, focus on e ELP of language skills in the CV has not e ELP-website of the CoE (as it is in the he evaluate himself (by just using the onal Points are k on their websites to the ELP website er informed about the ELP

	Project Group	Meeting 1 / 2005
ELP Implementation	-	25-26 February ECML Graz
1730 7	Extension of the group for the enlarge The group discusses a new date (meanwhile 9 – 10 of September 2005 (instead of Additional countries to be invited: Greece, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden. Alternativ Denmark, Finland.	confirmed by the ECML): 23-24 of September)
1830	End of first day	
	Saturday 25 February	
0900 7	Discussion of tools (1):	
7.1	Glossary (Gisella) The glossary should be part of the tool-kit. In titles of the 6 aereas. <i>The new version will be on the internal</i>	
7.2	Action Plan (Dick) Dick has combined his action plan with the of the parents: see examples of "success stori Parents for French <u>http://www.cpf.ca/englis</u> [<i>The new version will be on the internal</i>]	es" on the website of the Canadien sh/Parents/Success%20Stories.htm
7.3	"Stakeholder's grid" (Rolf) / "Snake of Lively discussion. Rolf will propose guidelines for using the stal cycle with case studies. [The new version will be on the internal	keholder grid and a draft of a project
1230	Lunch	
1400 7	Discussion of tools (2):	
7.4	 "Coherence-Cycle" (Hans Ulrich) The different positions of the grid can be enr different stakeholders in the different phase Conception phase / Piloting phase / Valida phase / Operational phase Questions generated as examples of what a ask themselves: 1. Where does our national system stand r about the potential value of the ELP? 2. How feasible is it for us to move forward ELP? 3. What would be our specific motives/goat 4. How much? 5. Where can we borrow stuff? 6. What options do we have for developing implementation? 7. How long will it take to implement ELP? 8. How can interest be made sustainable? 	es of implementation: tion phase / Full implementation national ministry or authority might now with relation to the assumptions or continue with implementation of als for ELP implementation? g our own strategy/ies for ELP

IMPEL ELP Implementation Support

Project	Group

Meeting 1 / 2005 25-26 February ECML Graz

9.2 Allocation of tasks: Summary Mid of March All Minutes (postponed until end of April) Hans Ulrich Please send your documents to Elke in order to place them on the internal impel site: elke.gresch@ecml.at End of April Gisella Glossary End of May Dick Action plan Rolf Grids Hans UlrichDocuments on public project site Mid of August Hans UlrichInitation / Program next meeting 9-10 SeptemberRolf Questionnaires 9.3 Programme of next meeting - reports of the invited experts, structured according to the new tools - discussion of the tools - FAQ for "ELP-Beginners" - Short reports of the core group - spread of information and final document		7.5	Rolf will bring examples to the next meeting
Some documents should be placed on the public space of the project site till summer: - Glossary - 1-2 tools (grids, lists with questions) - Agenda with important dates The ELP-documentation of the ECML should be completed. It would be useful to have a person in the ECML who could give informations about ELP and implementation. Final document CD-Rom and/or website: Gabriele will propose a sitemap till end of May 2005 1630 9.1 Co-operation The group members will work by the mean of the internal working space / internal forum as soon as the different documents are ready. 9.2 Allocation of tasks: Summary Mid of MarchAll Minutes (postponed until end of April) Please send your documents to Elke in order to place them on the internal impel site: elke.gresch@ecml.at End of April] Please Send your documents to Elke in order to place them on the internal impel site: elke.gresch@ecml.at End of April] Bisella Glossary End of June Hans Ulrich Documents on public project site Mid of August Hans Ulrich Documents on public project site 			
CD-Rom and/or website: [Gabriele will propose a sitemap till end of May 2005] 1630 9.1 Co-operation The group members will work by the mean of the internal working space / internal forum as soon as the different documents are ready. 9.2 Allocation of tasks: Summary Mid of MarchAll Minutes (postponed until end of April) Hans Ulrich Report (postponed until end of April) Please send your documents to Elke in order to place them on the internal impel site: elke.gresch@ecml.at End of April Gisella Glossary End of May Dick Action plan Rolf Grids Hans UlrichDuestions Gabriele Site map End of June Hans UlrichInitation / Program next meeting 9-10 SeptemberRolf Questionnaires 9.3 Programme of next meeting · reports of the invited experts, structured according to the new tools · discussion of the tools · FAQ for "ELP-Beginners" · Short reports of the core group · spread of information and final document	1545	8	Some documents should be placed on the public space of the project site till summer: - Glossary - 1-2 tools (grids, lists with questions) - Agenda with important dates The ELP-documentation of the ECML should be completed. It would be useful to have a person in the ECML who could give informations about ELP and
9.2 Allocation of tasks: Summary Mid of March All Minutes (postponed until end of April) Hans Ulrich Please send your documents to Elke in order to place them on the internal impel site: elke.gresch@ecml.at End of April Gisella Glossary End of May Dick Action plan Rolf Grids Hans UlrichDocuments on public project site Mid of August Hans UlrichInitation / Program next meeting 9-10 SeptemberRolf Questionnaires 9.3 Programme of next meeting - reports of the invited experts, structured according to the new tools - discussion of the tools - FAQ for "ELP-Beginners" - Short reports of the core group - spread of information and final document			CD-Rom and/or website:
Mid of March All Minutes (postponed until end of April) Hans Ulrich Report (postponed until end of April) Please send your documents to Elke in order to place them on the internal impel site: elke.gresch@ecml.at End of April Gisella Glossary End of May Dick Action plan Rolf Grids Hans UlrichQuestions Gabriele Site map End of June Hans UlrichDocuments on public project site Mid of August Hans UlrichInitation / Program next meeting 9-10 SeptemberRolf Questionnaires 9.3 Programme of next meeting - reports of the invited experts, structured according to the new tools - Giscussion of the tools - FAQ for "ELP-Beginners" - Short reports of the core group - spread of information and final document	1630	9.1	The group members will work by the mean of the internal working space / internal
 reports of the invited experts, structured according to the new tools discussion of the tools FAQ for "ELP-Beginners" Short reports of the core group spread of information and final document 		9.2	Mid of March AllMinutes (postponed until end of April) Hans UlrichHans UlrichReport (postponed until end of April)Please send your documents to Elke in order to place them on the internal impel site:elke.gresch@ecml.atEnd of AprilGisellaGlossaryEnd of MayDickAction plan RolfRolfGrids Hans UlrichQuestions GabrieleSite mapEnd of JuneHans UlrichDocuments on public project siteMid of AugustHans UlrichInitation / Program next meeting
1730 End of the meeting		9.3	 reports of the invited experts, structured according to the new tools discussion of the tools FAQ for "ELP-Beginners" Short reports of the core group
	1730	1	End of the meeting

29.4.2005 H.U.Bosshard